

United States Patent and Trademark Office



UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/936,460	01/08/2002	Hartwig Schwier	P01,0299	4135
26574 7	590 12/11/2006	•	EXAMINER	
SCHIFF HARDIN, LLP PATENT DEPARTMENT			MILIA, MARK R	
6600 SEARS TOWER		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
CHICAGO, IL 60606-6473			2625	

DATE MAILED: 12/11/2006

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	·		
		Application No.	Applicant(s)
		09/936,460	SCHWIER ET AL.
	Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit
		Mark R. Milia	2625
Period fo	The MAILING DATE of this communication app or Reply	ears on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence address
WHIC - Exte after - If NC - Failu Any	ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY CHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DANSIONS of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. Operiod for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period we are to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing ed patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION 36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be tin vill apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from cause the application to become ABANDONE	N. nely filed the mailing date of this communication. D (35 U.S.C. § 133).
Status	·		•
	Responsive to communication(s) filed on <u>27 Octoor</u> This action is FINAL . 2b) This Since this application is in condition for allower closed in accordance with the practice under E	action is non-final. nce except for formal matters, pro	
Dispositi	ion of Claims		
5)	Claim(s) 46-49 is/are pending in the application 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdraw Claim(s) is/are allowed. Claim(s) 46-49 is/are rejected. Claim(s) is/are objected to. Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or on Papers The specification is objected to by the Examine. The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) access Applicant may not request that any objection to the of Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction.	vn from consideration. r election requirement. r. epted or b) □ objected to by the legraming(s) be held in abeyance. Section is required if the drawing(s) is objected to by the legraming(s) is objected to by the legraming(e 37 CFR 1.85(a). lected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).
	The oath or declaration is objected to by the Ex	aminer. Note the attached Office	Action or form PTO-152.
12) a)[Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents 2. Certified copies of the priority documents 3. Copies of the certified copies of the prior application from the International Bureau See the attached detailed Office action for a list of	s have been received. s have been received in Applicati ity documents have been receive (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	on No ed in this National Stage
2) 🔲 Notic 3) 🔲 Inforr	t(s) e of References Cited (PTO-892) e of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) nation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) r No(s)/Mail Date	4) Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail Da 5) Notice of Informal P 6) Other:	ite

Art Unit: 2625

DETAILED ACTION

Response to Amendment

1. Applicant's amendment was received on 10/27/06 and has been entered and made of record. Currently, claims 46-49 are pending.

Response to Arguments

2. Applicant's arguments with respect to claim 46 have been considered but are moot in view of the current amendment to the claim and therefore new ground(s) of rejection will be made.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

3. The text of those sections of Title 35, U.S. Code not included in this action can be found in a prior Office action.

Claims 46-49 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Snyders (US 5982996) in view of Heiney et al. (US 5983243).

Regarding claim 46, Snyders discloses a method for printing a document, comprising the steps of: outputting a document file for printing from an application running in a Windows or windows-like environment (see Fig. 1, column 3 lines 38-62,

Application/Control Number: 09/936,460

Art Unit: 2625

column 4 lines 8-13, and column 6 lines 22-25), calling a print driver for the document file, said driver outputting the document file in EMF format, said driver being operable to always output documents in EMF format (see column 8 lines 9-35), transmitting the document file in EMF format to a spool file (see column 6 line 60-column 7 line 15 and column 9 lines 1-4), spooling the EMF format document file to a print processor (see column 7 lines 3-15, column 8 lines 14-35, and column 9 lines 5-6), transmitting the document file from the print processor to a converter for conversion from EMF format to a raw printer format (see column 9 lines 15-53), receiving a user input at a user interface (see column 8 lines 9-14 and 40-42), controlling the conversion from EMF format to the raw printer format according to the user input (see column 8 lines 9-43), outputting the document file in raw printer format to an output device (see column 3 lines 38-49, column 9 lines 50-53, and column 11 lines 32-37).

Snyders does not disclose expressly wherein said controlling step controls referencing of auxiliary information in a master document, said auxiliary information being transmitted separately from data of said master document.

Heiney discloses wherein said controlling step controls referencing of auxiliary information in a master document, said auxiliary information being transmitted separately from data of said master document (see Figs. 2 and 3, column 4 lines 19-67, and column 5 lines 37-49 and 57-65).

Snyders & Heiney are combinable because they are from the same field of endeavor, formatting document data for subsequent printing.

At the time of the invention, it would have been obvious to a person of ordinary skill in the art to combine the controlling referencing of auxiliary information, which is transmitted separately from master document data, as described by Heiney, with the system of Snyders.

The suggestion/motivation for doing so would have been to increase printing speed and efficiency by allowing a computer system to process variable data and static (master) data separately and merge the data as necessary regardless of the type of printer and the format of the data in which the printer is capable of handling.

Therefore, it would have been obvious to combine Heiney with Snyders to obtain the invention as specified in claim 46.

Regarding claim 47, Snyders further discloses wherein said raw printer format is PCL format (see column 8 lines 3-6 and column 10 lines 20-21).

Regarding claim 48, Snyders further discloses wherein said output device includes a port monitor and a printer device connected to the port monitor (see Fig. 5 and column 9 lines 18-20).

Regarding claim 49, Heiney further discloses wherein said auxiliary information includes image information and said referencing includes location information of said information on a printed page (see Figs. 2 and 3, column 4 lines 19-67, and column 5 lines 37-49 and 57-65).

Art Unit: 2625

Conclusion

4. **THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL.** Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of this final action.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Mark R. Milia whose telephone number is (571) 272-7408. The examiner can normally be reached M-F 8:00am-4:00pm.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Twyler M. Lamb can be reached at (571) 272-7406. The fax number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Art Unit: 2625

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

Mark R. Milia Examiner

Art Unit 2625

MRM

KING Y. POON PRIMARY EXAMINER